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Highlights

- We propose a unified framework which combines auto-annotation with TS-RPN.
- Pedestrian instances are iteratively labeling using multimodal information.
- Temporal tracking and label fusion improve the quality of auto-annotated labels.
- TS-RPN efficiently fuses the semantic features on both visible and thermal images.
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Abstract

Multispectral pedestrian detection is an important functionality in various computer vision applications such as robot sensing, security surveillance, and autonomous driving. In this paper, our motivation is to automatically adapt a generic pedestrian detector trained in a visible source domain to a new multispectral target domain without any manual annotation efforts. For this purpose, we present an auto-annotation framework to iteratively label pedestrian instances in visible and thermal channels by leveraging the complementary information of multispectral data. A distinct target is temporally tracked through image sequences to generate more confident labels. The predicted pedestrians in two individual channels are merged through a label fusion scheme to generate multispectral pedestrian annotations. The obtained annotations are then fed to a two-stream region proposal network (TS-RPN) to learn the multispectral features on both visible and thermal images for robust pedestrian detection. Experimental results on KAIST multispectral dataset show that our proposed unsupervised approach using auto-annotated training data can achieve performance comparable to state-of-the-art deep neural networks (DNNs) based pedestrian detectors trained using manual labels.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pedestrian detection has attracted much attention within computer vision community in recent years [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Given images captured under varying conditions of illumination, viewpoints, camera resolutions and backgrounds, pedestrian detection solution is required to generate bounding boxes to predict accurate positions of individual pedestrian instances. It provides an important functionality for various human-centric applications, such as autonomous vehicles, urban monitoring, UAV surveillance and intelligent robots.

Although significant improvements have been accomplished over the past few years, it still remains a difficult problem to train a pedestrian detector that works reliably in various real-world surveillance situations. The major challenge is two-fold. First, the performance of a generic pedestrian detector may drop significantly when it is applied to new scenes, due to the mismatch between the source and target scenes [6]. For example, as shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), a state-of-the-art region proposal network (RPN) detector [7] well-trained in the Caltech pedestrian dataset [8] cannot produce satisfactory detection results when applied to visible images from the KAIST pedestrian benchmark, even under a similar lighting condition. Second, most pedestrian detectors are trained on RGB images captured by visible cameras which are sensitive to changes of illumination, weather and occlusions. A detector built on such images
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Figure 1: Detection results of a generic RPN detector well-trained using images from Caltech dataset. (a) Results on an image from Caltech dataset; (b) Results on an image from the KAIST dataset captured during daytime; (c) Results on an image from the KAIST dataset captured during nighttime in good lighting condition; (d) Results on an image from the KAIST dataset captured during nighttime in dark condition. Note green bounding boxes show true positives, red bounding boxes show false positives, and red bounding boxes in dashed line show false negatives.
is very likely to be stuck with images captured during nighttime. As shown in Fig. 1(c), many obvious pedestrians cannot be properly localized using a RPN detector. The situation is even worse in a dark condition where pedestrians may become unrecognizable in visible spectrum, as shown in Fig. 1(d). Recently, pedestrian detection under extreme conditions becomes increasingly important for around-the-clock robotic applications, such as autonomous vehicle and surveillance systems [9, 10, 11]. This imposes the applications of multimodal data sources for pedestrian detection [12, 13], which is able to provide multi-cue information about objects of interest, leading to a more robust and reliable detections.

To achieve a high detection accuracy in target scenes, it is crucial to train a pedestrian detector on target data with multi-cue information incorporated. Consequently, this often requires large-scale annotations on the target data, which is costly and unscalable, since the target domain might change frequently. Furthermore, reliable and consistent manual annotations over various image modalities are difficult, since visible information in some models may be relatively weak, such as visible nighttime images. In this work, we propose a novel framework for unsupervised learning of a DNN-based pedestrian detector, by leveraging the complementary information of multispectral data. We present a number of technical developments that allow our detector to be readily adapted to new target scenes, without any manual annotation. We show in experiments that the proposed unsupervised approach achieves performance comparable to methods based on supervised DNN-based detector. The contributions of our work are three-fold.

First, we demonstrate the usefulness of multispectral data for the task of pedestrian detection. Complementary information captured by multimodal sensors (visible and infrared cameras) cannot only be used to improve detection accuracy but also to automatically generate training samples. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to explore visible and infrared images for learning multispectral pedestrian detector in an unsupervised manner.

Second, we propose a novel approach able to iteratively label pedestrian instances from visible and thermal channels. It takes ~30 hours to complete the auto-annotation of 50k multispectral image pairs while the manual labeling process of such data requires more than 80 hours. These auto-annotation results can be used to train a detector, making our approach readily applicable to new target scenes without extra manual labeling efforts.

Third, we present a unified framework which combines the auto-annotation method with a TS-RPN detector to achieve unsupervised learning of multispectral features for robust pedestrian detection. On the KAIST multispectral dataset which captures various urban scenes at both daytime and nighttime, our proposed unsupervised approach using auto-annotated training data alone can achieve performance comparable to state-of-the-art DNNs-based multispectral pedestrian detectors trained using manual labels (36.42% vs. 36.99% on miss rates).

2. Related Work

Researches closely related to our work include supervised pedestrian detection, multimodal data fusion and unsupervised domain adaptation. We present a review of the most recent works on these topics below.

Supervised Pedestrian Detection: a large variety of methods have been proposed for improving pedestrian detection systems to achieve faster speed and higher accuracy. Papageorgiou et al. [14] proposed the first sliding window detectors, applying support vector machines (SVM) to multi-scale Haar wavelets. Viola and Jones [15] introduced integral images for fast feature computation and a cascade structure for efficient detection, utilizing AdaBoost for automatic feature selection. Piotr et al. [16] built the Integrate Channel Features (ICF) detector with channel feature pyramids and boosted classifiers. The feature representations of ICF have been improved through multiple techniques, including aggregated channel features (ACF) [8], locally decorrelated channel features (LDCF) [17], Checkerboards [18] etc. Recently DNNs-based approaches have been widely applied to improve the performance of pedestrian detection [19, 20, 21, 22]. Sermanet et al. [23] proposed a method based on convolutional sparse coding to pre-train Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for pedestrian detection. In [24], Tian et al. trained extensive part detectors with weakly annotated humans to handle occlusion problems in pedestrian detection. Li et al. [25] developed a Scale-Aware Fast R-CNN framework which incorporates a large-size sub-network and a small-size sub-network into a unified architecture to capture characteristic features for detecting pedestrians of different image sizes. Zhang et al. [7] proposed a very simple but effective baseline for pedestrian detection, using an RPN followed by boosted forests on shared, high-resolution convolutional feature maps. Cai et al. [26] proposed a multi-scale deep neural network for fast and accurate pedestrian detection. Li et al. [27] made use of the dilated convolution to enhance the feature learning and construct a pedestrian detection framework along with the region proposal network and boosted decision trees. Du et al. [28] proposed a deep neural network fusion architecture applying parallel processing of multiple networks for fast and robust pedestrian detection.

Multimodal Data Fusion: In recent years, pedestrian detectors trained using multimodal data sources have gained popularity since multimodal sensors provide complementary information about objects of interest and lead to more robust detection results. Grassi et al. [12] proposed a novel information fusion approach to detect pedestrians, by determining the regions of interest in the video data through a lidar sensor and an infrared camera. Hwang et al. [13] have captured a large-size KAIST multispectral pedestrian dataset (KAIST) which contains well aligned RGB-thermal image pairs with dense pedestrian annotations. With this dataset, the author proposed a new multispectral aggregated channel features to handle color-thermal image pairs. This reduces the average miss rate of ACF by 15%. Liu et al. [29] have designed four ConvNet fusion architectures that integrate two-branch ConvNets on different DNNs stages, all of which yield better performance compared with the baseline detector on the KAIST dataset. Xu et al. [30] designed a method to learn and transfer cross-modal deep rep-
resentations in a supervised manner for the purpose of robust pedestrian detection against bad illumination conditions. However, this method is based on information of visible channel only (during the testing stage) and its performance is not comparable with ones based on multispectral data (e.g., Halfway Fusion model [29]). König et al. [31] modified the visible pedestrian detector designed by Zhang et al. [7] to build Fusion RPN + BDT architecture for multispectral pedestrian detection. However, the Fusion RPN + BDT model employs boosted forest for object classification which is time-consuming in both training and testing phases.

Unsupervised Domain Adaptation: Recently, some researches are carried out to achieve unsupervised domain adaptation in an attempt to minimize the manual annotation effort. Roth et al. [32] proposed an unsupervised framework to learn an object detector by combining the power of a discriminative classifier with the robustness of a generative model. Based on motion analysis in video, a number of positive examples are obtained by simply checking the geometry (aspect ratio) of the motion blobs and then are fed to a discriminative classifier (AdaBoost) to learn target-related features. Wang et al. [6] proposed a new approach to automatically transfer a generic pedestrian detector to a scene-specific one in static video surveillance without manually labeling samples from the target scene. This method explores a set of context cues (e.g., locations, sizes and motions) to select high-confidence samples from the target scene to guide transfer learning. Zeng et al. [33] presented a deep model to automatically learn a scene-specific classifier and the distribution of the target samples in static surveillance videos. The specifically designed objective function not only incorporates the confidence scores of target training samples but also automatically weights the importance of source training samples. Wu et al. [34] presented a selective ensemble algorithm to select a subset of the components relevant to the target scene for recombination. The resulting model is applied for collecting high-confidence samples from unlabeled target data. It is noticed that the above-mentioned unsupervised domain adaptation methods attempt to convert a generic pedestrian detector to a scene-specific one and only demonstrate good performance on static video surveillance benchmarks (e.g., MIT-Traffic [35] or CUHK-Square [36]).

Our approach differs from the above methods distinctly by developing a unified framework which combines the auto-annotation method with a TS-RPN detector to achieve unsupervised learning of multispectral features for robust pedestrian detection. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research work revealing that multispectral data provides complementary information to simultaneously improve detection accuracy and achieve auto-annotation of training samples. Moreover, our trained multispectral detector is not a scene-specific one. On the KAIST multispectral dataset which captures various urban scenes (dynamic viewpoints) at both daytime and nighttime, our proposed unsupervised approach using auto-annotated training data alone can achieve performance comparable to state-of-the-art DNNs-based multispectral pedestrian detectors trained using manual labels.

3. Our Approach

In the visible source domain, there are many public dataset available for training pedestrian detectors, such as Caltech [8], INRIA [37], ETH [38], KITTI [39], Cityscapes [40], CityPersons [41], which are all captured during daytime under good lighting conditions. Pedestrian detectors trained on these images are sensitive to changes of illumination and weather. With the advance of multimodal sensing technology, it is possible to simultaneously capture multiple-cue information (e.g., thermal, visible, and depth) of the same scene, which provides complementary information about objects of interest and leads to more stable detection results. However, large-scale manual labeling of multispectral data captured at new target scenes is extremely time-consuming and not scalable. Therefore, the motivation of this research paper is to automatically adapt a generic pedestrian detector trained using public visible benchmarks (e.g., Caltech [8]) to new multispectral target scenes without any manual annotation efforts. This is a challenging task since pedestrian instances exhibit significantly different human-related characteristics in the visible and thermal channels during daytime and nighttime, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

![Pedestrian examples in visible source domain](image)

![Pedestrian examples in multispectral target domain](image)

Figure 2: Examples of pedestrians in the visible daytime source domain [8, 37, 39] and the multispectral (visible and thermal) target domain [13]. It is noted pedestrian instances exhibit significantly different human-related characteristics in the visible and thermal channels captured during daytime and nighttime.

In a pair of aligned visible and thermal images, a pedestrian instance is approximately located at the same position but exhibits significantly different characteristic features. Based on this important principle, we propose a novel framework for unsupervised learning of a DNN-based pedestrian detector using
multispectral data. A generic pedestrian detector, pre-trained on visible images, can be automatically optimized to handle multispectral data via an unsupervised learning manner, allowing the detector to work reliably under a new extreme condition. The diagram of our proposed method is illustrated in Fig. 3. Specifically, our approach makes use of a generic pedestrian detector pre-trained on a visible daylight dataset (the source domain) as the initial detector. Firstly, an iterative annotation approach is presented to repeatedly label pedestrian instances in visible and thermal images (the multispectral target domain). Then, a temporal tracking method is applied on visible and thermal channels to generate more confident labels and the predicted results on both channels are fused to generate the final annotations. Finally, we design a TS-RPN detector, which is trained using the automatically generated annotations. Each stream is an independent CNN with input of a visible or thermal image. The fusion of two stream features is performed at the convolutional layers, allowing our detector to effectively learn the complementary semantic features in visible and infrared channels.

Some essential mathematical notations are listed in Tab. 1.

### 3.1. Iterative annotation

In paired color and thermal images $\{X^C; X^T\}$, pedestrians present significantly different characteristics in different image modalities, which can effectively compensate for each other. For instance, a pedestrian which cannot be clearly identified in a color image, may appear significant in its corresponding thermal image. Moreover, position consistency of objects shown in the aligned visible and infrared images allows us to iteratively update detection results on one channel by considering complementary information in another. Based on above considerations, a novel iterative annotation algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1.

In Fig. 2, it is observed that human-related characteristics change significantly in the visible channel during daytime and nighttime, while pedestrians appear visually consistent in the thermal channel under different illumination conditions. Therefore, we make use of two visible detectors (daytime and nighttime) and one thermal detector to learn pedestrian features in...
Table 1: Notations Used in This Paper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X$</td>
<td>multispectral target images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(C,T)$</td>
<td>color $C$ and thermal $T$ channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(D,N)$</td>
<td>daytime $D$ and nighttime $N$ scenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta$</td>
<td>parameters of pedestrian detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>labels of pedestrian detections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>bounding box coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>confidence scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>confident labels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>candidate labels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>positive labels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Algorithm 1: Iterative Annotation

**Input:**
- The multispectral images $X = \{X^C, X^T, X^{C,T}, X^{T,C} \}$
- Parameters of a pedestrian detector $\theta_0$

**Initialization:**
- Set $\theta_0^C = \theta_0$, $\theta_0^T = \theta_0$, $\theta_0^C = \theta_0$, and $E_0 = \{E_0^C, E_0^T, E_0^{C,T}, E_0^{T,C}\} = \emptyset$
- Perform $\Theta(X^C; \theta_0^C)$ and $\Theta(X^T; \theta_0^T)$
- Generate $E_0^C$, $E_0^T$, $E_0^{C,T}$, and $E_0^{T,C}$ based on Eqs. 1, 2
- Set $P_0^C = E_0^C$ and update $\theta_0^C$ to $\theta_1^C$ based on Eqs. 4, 5

for $k = 1, ..., K$
  // Updating visible channel detectors
  Perform $\Theta(X^C; \theta_k^C)$ and $\Theta(X^T; \theta_k^T)$
  Generate $E_k^C$, $E_k^T$, $E_k^{C,T}$, and $E_k^{T,C}$ based on Eqs. 1, 2
  Update $\theta_k^C$ to $\theta_{k+1}^C$ and $\theta_k^T$ to $\theta_{k+1}^T$ based on Eqs. 3, 4, 5
  Update $E_k^{C,T}$ to $E_{k+1}^{C,T}$ and $E_k^{T,C}$ to $E_{k+1}^{T,C}$ based on Eq. 6
  // Updating thermal channel detector
  Perform $\Theta(X^{C,T}; \theta_k^{C,T})$ and $\Theta(X^{T,C}; \theta_k^{T,C})$
  Generate $E_k^{C,T}$, $E_k^{T,C}$, $E_k^{C,T}$, and $E_k^{T,C}$ based on Eqs. 1, 2
  Update $\theta_k^{C,T}$ to $\theta_{k+1}^{C,T}$ based on Eqs. 3, 4, 5
  Update $E_k^{C,T}$ to $E_{k+1}^{C,T}$ and $E_k^{T,C}$ to $E_{k+1}^{T,C}$ based on Eq. 6

if $(\text{Num}(E_k) - \text{Num}(E_{k-1})) / \text{Num}(E_{k-1}) < 0.01$ then break; // Convergence condition is reached

**Output:** $\{E = \bar{E}_k, F = F_k\}$

where $\hat{E}_k$ and $\bar{E}_k$ are the negative and positive labels, respectively. The parameters of daytime and nighttime color pedestrian detectors $\theta^C$ and $\theta^T$, as well as the thermal pedestrian detector $\theta^C$, are all initialized using the parameters of a generic pedestrian detector $\theta_0$, which is pre-trained using daytime visible images (the source domain) [7].

A pedestrian detector $\Theta(X; \theta)$ generates a number of detection results $G$, $b(i, G, \nu_G)$, where $G$ is the prediction label, $b(i) = (b_{i1}^C, b_{i2}^C, b_{i3}^C, b_{i4}^C)$ denotes coordinates of its bounding box, and $\nu_G$ is the confidence score. Following the same strategy used in traditional detector (e.g., support vector machine [42] or Adaboost [43]), we ignore the last softmax loss layer so that the range of confidence score $\nu_G$ is $[-\infty, +\infty]$. Here we only consider the detection results with positive confidence scores. Confident labels $E$ and candidate labels $F$ are obtained from positive detection result $G$ as follows:

$$E = \{x \in G : \nu_x > \nu_{hr}\},$$

$$F = \{x \in G : \nu_x \geq \nu_{hr} \geq 0\},$$

where the high confidence threshold $\nu_{hr} = \mu_{hr} + \sigma_{hr}$, $\mu_{hr}$ and $\sigma_{hr}$ are the mean and standard deviation of all positive confident scores, respectively. Here a confident detection denotes a pedestrian instance which can be well identified using single channel information, while a candidate detection represents a possible target whose existence needs further verification by considering information from another channel. Since the pedestrian instances located at the same positions in a pair of aligned visible and thermal images, auto-annotated labels (confident labels $E$ and candidate ones $F$) in one channel can be mapped to the other one to generate training samples (positive labels $P$).

More specifically, we obtain positive labels $P^{\nu_i}$ in channel $A$ by considering the latest generated labels in both channel $A_1$ and channel $A_2$ as follows:

$$P^{\nu_i} = \{x \in A \mid \nu(x) < \max \{\nu(y) : y \in P^{\nu_i} \} \},$$

where $IoU$ is the intersection over union between two bounding boxes [44] and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Here we make use the parameter $\alpha$ to promote an unconfident detection result in a channel to a positive training sample if it exhibits obvious human-related characteristic in another channel. Parameter $\beta$ is set to avoid overfitting, since a well-detected instance should not be considered for detector updating. In our implementation, we empirically set $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\beta = 0.9$. Since $E^T$ is not calculated at the initialization step ($k = 0$), we directly set $P_0^T = E_0^{C,T}$ to update the thermal channel detector $\theta_0^T$. The unsignificant confident labels and candidate labels are considered as ignore regions where we do not generate negative samples.

After generating training images with positive labels $\{X, P\}$, the parameters $\theta$ of pedestrian detector are updated by minimizing a loss function. Inspired by the multi-task learning of classification and bounding box regression [20, 19], the loss of detection layer combines the cross-entropy classification loss term and the bounding box regression loss term as follows:

$$L(X, P \mid \theta) = - \log \psi_U(X) + \lambda \sum_{i \in \{x, y, w, h\}} \text{smooth}_{Li} (\hat{b}_{ui}^i - \hat{b}_{ui}^i),$$

where $\psi_U(X) = (\psi_{ui}(X), \psi_{ui}(X))$ is the probability distribution over foreground classes and background ones computed by the forward propagation of the RPN, $\lambda$ is a trade-off coefficient, $\hat{b}_{ui}^i = (\hat{b}_{ui1}^i, \hat{b}_{ui2}^i, \hat{b}_{ui3}^i, \hat{b}_{ui4}^i)$ denotes the regressed bounding box for foreground classes, and the robust $L_1$ loss function $\text{smooth}_{Li}$ is defined in [20]. In our implementation, the coefficient $\lambda$ is set to $5$ as suggested by Zhang et al. [7].

At each iteration step, optimal parameters $\theta_k$ are learned by minimizing the loss function $L(X, P \mid \theta_k)$ through the gradient descent optimization algorithm as follows:

$$\theta_{k+1} = \arg \min_{\theta_k} L(X, P \mid \theta_k).$$
After iteratively updating the parameters of detectors ($\theta^{CD}, \theta^{CN}$
and $\theta^{T}$) a number of times, a generic visible daytime pedestrian
detector is adapted to generate accurate detection results in vis-
ible daytime, visible nighttime, and thermal all-day scenes. The
newly generated confident labels $E_k$ are used to update the exist-
ing confident label set $\widetilde{E}_{k-1}$ to $E_k$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{E}_k = E_k \cup \{x \in \widetilde{E}_{k-1} : \max_{i \in E_i} \text{IoU}(b_x, b_i) < \eta\}.$$ (6)

A newly generated confident label $E_k$ will replace an existing one
$\widetilde{E}_{k-1}$ if they have a large overlap (IoU $\geq \zeta$), otherwise
is added into the confident label set. In our implementation, we
empirically set $\eta = 0.5$. With the increase of iteration times,
more confident labels will be identified. However, such increase
becomes insignificant when iterative annotation process continues.
Once the convergence condition $(\frac{\text{Num}(\widetilde{E}_{k-1}) - \text{Num}(E_{k-1})}{\text{Num}(E_{k-1})} < 0.01)$
is reached during iteration $K$, the iteration loop is stopped. The
output of our iterative annotation method consists of a number
of confident labels and candidate ones.

### 3.2. Temporal Tracking

The iterative annotation approach generates pedestrian detections
with confident or candidate labels solely based on information
presented from single pair of aligned visible and thermal
images. Inspired by the manual labeling tool used in [8],
which calculates pedestrian positions in unlabeled frames using
the intermediate labeled ones through a cubic interpolation,
we design a simple method to promote candidate labels to con-
fident ones by considering temporal consistency of objects in
image sequences. Given a number of consecutive image frames
and the annotated labels, the temporal tracking method will pro-
mote a candidate label $F(j)$ in image frame $j$ to a confident label
$\hat{E}(j)$ if it has large overlap with a confident label in frame $j-1$
or $j+1$ as follows:

$$\hat{E}(j) = \{x \in F(j) : \max_{i \in \hat{E}(j-1) \cup \hat{E}(j+1)} \text{IoU}(b_x, b_i) < \gamma\},$$ (7)

where $\gamma$ is a threshold parameter to impose temporal consist-
ency of objects between adjacent frames. In our implementa-
tion we experimentally set $\gamma = 0.95$. The promoted confident
labels $\hat{E}(j)$ will be iteratively added into the existing confident
label set to promote candidate labels, until there is no more $\hat{E}_i$
generated.

### 3.3. Label fusion

We introduce a simple scheme to merge the generated la-
bel sets from two channels into a single set, allowing each pair
of images have identical annotations. Given the individually
generated labels $\{E^C, F^C\}$ and $\{E^T, F^T\}$ from two channels, the
confident labels $E^{CD}$ and $E^{TD}$ in visible daytime and thermal
nighttime (most reliable channels for pedestrian detection [13])
are directly selected as positive results. Then, the remaining
confident labels will also be considered as positive samples if
they also present enough human-related characteristics in the
complementary channel. More specifically, a remaining confi-
dent detection and a candidate one are merged into a single one
to form a positive sample, if they have a large overlap area (here
we set the overlap threshold $\zeta = 0.7$). Finally, a non-maximum
suppression (NMS) [8] with a threshold $\eta = 0.5$ is applied to
merge the highly overlapped positive regions. The label fusion
method works as follows:

$$P = P^D \cup P^V,$$ (8)

$$P^D = \text{NMS}(E^{CD} \cup \{x \in E^{FD} : \max_{i \in E^{FD}} \text{IoU}(b_x, b_i) > \zeta\}, \eta),$$ (9)

$$P^V = \text{NMS}(E^{TS} \cup \{x \in E^{FT} : \max_{i \in E^{FT}} \text{IoU}(b_x, b_i) > \zeta\}, \eta),$$ (10)

where $P^D$ and $P^V$ are the selected positive labels during daytime
and nighttime, respectively. The unselected confident and can-
didate labels are considered as ignore regions where we do not
generate negative samples. As shown in our experiments (Sec-
tion 4.3.3), the label fusion approach results in more reliable
detections, by recovering missing targets based on information
in the complementary channel. The generated final annotations
are used to train a two-stream RPN pedestrian detector, which
is described next.

### 3.4. Two-stream RPN pedestrian detector

With a proper fusion strategy, complementary information in
visible and infrared channels should lead to better pedestrian
detection performance. However, most of previous studies are
reported on detecting pedestrians using either color or thermal
images individually [23, 7, 25, 46, 47], rather than leveraging
color and thermal images simultaneously. In this section, we
present a novel TS-RPN model for pedestrian detection, ex-
tended from the state-of-the-art general object detector [19].
Motivated from recent two-stream architecture for video recog-
nition, where RGB image and optical flow information are en-
coded separately using two independent CNN streams [48]. It is
straightforward to incorporate the two-stream architecture into
Figure 5: Example results of iterative annotation. In the initialization step (Iteration 0), a generic RPN detector [7] well-trained in the Caltech pedestrian dataset [8] cannot correctly identify pedestrian instances when applied to multispectral images. The performance of pedestrian detection in one channel is iteratively improved by considering complementary information from another one. It is observed that more confident labels can be correctly identified and some false candidate ones are gradually removed. Note green bounding boxes show confident labels and yellow bounding boxes in dashed line show candidate ones.

Architecture of our TS-RPN detector is shown in Fig. 4(a). Specifically, the TS-RPN model uses two deep convolutional neural networks (DCNNs), each of which is a 16-layer VGGnet [49], to compute semantic features from both visible and infrared images. Two-stream features are fused at the forth convolutional layer (conv4) by simply concatenating two feature maps together, where $1 \times 1$ convolutional layer (fusion layer) is applied for performing linear combination of two feature maps. Our two-steam detector is closely related to the Late Fusion model [45] as shown in Fig. 4(b) and the Halfway Fusion model [29] as shown in Fig. 4(c). Different from the two fusion models, our TS-RPN avoid to use the last three fully-connected networks ($fc6$, $fc7$, $fc8$), which not only reduces the size of model but also obtains significant performance improvement. More experimental results are provided in Section 4.4.

4. Experiments

4.1. Dataset

We evaluate the proposed unsupervised pedestrian detection framework on KAIST multispectral pedestrian benchmark [13]. In total, KAIST training dataset contains 50,172 aligned color-infrared image pairs captured at various urban locations and under different lighting conditions with dense annotations. We sample images every 2 frames and obtain 25,086 training images following [29]. The testing dataset of KAIST contains 2,252 image pairs in which 797 pairs were captured during nighttime. The original annotations under the “reasonable” setting (pedestrians are larger 55 pixels and at least 50% visible) are used for performance evaluation [13].

4.2. Implementation Details

Single-scale training and testing strategy is applied without using feature pyramids [50, 20, 19, 7]. For RPN and TS-RPN training, an anchor is considered as a positive sample if its Intersection-over-Union (IoU) ratio with one positive label is greater than 0.5, and otherwise negative. Please note that no negative samples will be obtained within the ignore regions.

We apply the image-centric training scheme to generate mini-batches, which consist of 1 image and 120 randomly selected anchors (the ratio of positive and negative anchors is 1:5) for computing the loss [20, 19]. Other hyper-parameters of RPN and TS-RPN are set as in [19]. Except in the experiment of iterative annotation, RPN and TS-RPN are initialized using the parameters of pre-trained VGG-16 model [49] on the ImageNet.
4.3. Evaluation of Auto-Annotation

We use the KAIST multispectral training dataset, which contains a large number of manual labels, to evaluate our proposed auto-annotation approach. At the end of each processing step (iterative annotation, temporal tracking, label fusion), a number of auto-annotated labels are generated. A confident/positive label is defined as a true positive ($tp$) if it can be successfully matched to a ground truth one (IoU of their bounding boxes exceed 50%). Unmatched confident/positive labels and unmatched ground truth are considered as false positives ($fp$) and false negatives ($fn$), respectively. Referring to [8], confident/positive labels matched to ignore ground truth ones do not be counted as true positives, as well unmatched ignore ground truth labels are not considered as false negatives. The $F_1$ score [53], which is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, is calculated to quantitatively evaluate the performance of individual steps of the proposed auto-annotation method as follows:

$$F_1 = \frac{2 \cdot \text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}},$$

where precision and recall are computed as:

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{tp}{tp + fp}, \quad \text{Recall} = \frac{tp}{tp + fn}$$

Figure 6: The quantitative evaluation results of iterative annotation. (a) The total numbers and (b) the $F_1$ scores of confident labels generated in different iterations. Note Iteration 0 represents the initialization step. It is observed that the total numbers and the $F_1$ scores of generated confident labels both increase during the iterative annotation processes, and such improvement becomes insignificant after 5 iterations.

Figure 7: Processing results of temporal tracking method on three consecutive image frames. It is noticed that a number of candidate labels have been correctly promoted to confident ones by applying the temporal tracking technique. Note green bounding boxes show confident labels and yellow bounding boxes in dashed line show candidate ones.
4.3.1. Iterative Annotation Results

The proposed iterative annotation method generates a number of confident and candidate labels in thermal and color channels. In Fig. 5 we show some examples of the iterative annotation results. At the initialization step (Iteration 0), a generic RPN detector [7] well-trained in the Caltech pedestrian dataset [8] cannot produce satisfactory detection results when applied to multispectral images. It is observed that more pedestrian instances can be correctly identified and some false candidate ones are gradually removed during the iterative annotation process.

Also, we count the total number of confident labels generated during each iteration and calculate their $F_1$ scores. The quantitative evaluation results are shown in Fig. 6. It is observed that the total numbers and the $F_1$ scores of generated confident labels both increase during the iterative annotation processes. However, such increase becomes insignificant after 5 iterations. Then the convergence condition ($\frac{\text{Num}(E_{k}) - \text{Num}(E_{k-1})}{\text{Num}(E_{k-1})} < 0.01$) is reached and the iteration loop is stopped.

4.3.2. Temporal Tracking Results

After the step of iterative annotation, the proposed temporal tracking method is applied to generate more confident labels on visible daytime and thermal nighttime images. As shown in Fig. 7, more confident labels are promoted from candidate ones by considering temporal consistency of objects in image sequences. We count the number of confident labels before and after applying this label promotion strategy. As shown in Table 2, a significant number of candidate labels have been promoted to confident ones. Moreover, we calculate the $F_1$ scores and the comparative results are shown in Table 3. It is observed that higher $F_1$ scores, which indicate better overall precision and recall, are achieved by applying the temporal tracking technique. The results demonstrate that our proposed temporal tracking approach is a simple yet effective method to improve the quality of auto-annotated labels.

4.3.3. Label Fusion Results

Finally, the label fusion scheme is applied to merge the generated labels from visible and thermal channels into a single set. A pedestrian is located at the same position in a pair of aligned visible and thermal images in our final annotation result. Fig. 8 shows the label fusion results of a daytime scene and a nighttime scene, respectively. It is observed that the proposed label fusion approach results in more reliable detections by recovering missing targets based on information in the complementary channel. Moreover, some false detections caused
Figure 9: Examples of final auto-annotated positive labels and ground truth. (a) Our auto-annotation results are comparable with ground truth; (b) our results are better than the ground truth annotations (some true positives which are missed by human observers are correctly identified by our auto-annotation approach); (c) our results are not satisfactory (some insignificant pedestrian samples are not correctly annotated). Note green bounding boxes show positive labels.
by clutter background on the thermal channel during daytime are removed since they present no/low human characteristics in the visible channel. In Table 4, we count the total numbers and the $F_1$ scores of the obtained positive labels after applying the label fusion technique. Comparative results also confirm the effectiveness of our proposed label fusion scheme since higher $F_1$ scores are achieved.

Some final auto-annotation results are presented and compared with ground truth (manual labeling) in Fig. 9. When a pedestrian appear distinct in either visible or thermal channel as shown in Fig. 9(a), our auto-annotated results are comparable with manual labels. Moreover, our approach can accurately identify some true positives which are missed by human observers as shown in Fig. 9(b). However some insignificant pedestrian samples are not successfully detected by our auto-annotation method, but can be still identified through human effort as shown in Fig. 9(c). Focus of our future work will be on enhancing the detection techniques to better separate object-of-interest with cluttered background. It is worth mentioning that the large-scale manual-labeling of multispectral data captured at new target scenes is extremely time-consuming and not scalable. It takes around 6 seconds to manually annotate a single-channel (visible) image [8] and more than 80 hours to complete the labeling of the KAIST training dataset (containing more than 50k multispectral image pairs). In comparison, the processing time of the proposed auto-annotation pipeline (including iterative annotation for 5 iterations, temporal tracking, and label fusion) is ~30 hours. These auto-annotation results can be used to train a detector, making our approach readily applicable to new target scenes without extra manual labeling efforts.

4.4. Evaluation of Two-stream RPN pedestrian detector

We apply the final auto-annotated labels to train our unsupervised two-stream network (U-TS-RPN), and the manual annotations in KAIST training dataset to train the supervised two-stream network (TS-RPN) for comparison. Our proposed U-TS-RPN (unsupervised) and TS-RPN (supervised) are comparing with two other multispectral pedestrian detectors Halfway Fusion [29] and ACF [13]. The detection results by the method [29] are basically provided by its authors. The ACF detector is trained using 10-channel aggregated features to fuse color and thermal images.

In Fig.10, we plot miss rate against false positives per image (FPPI) (using log-log plots) by varying the threshold on detection confidence. In our implementation, MR is computed by averaging miss rate at nine FPPI rates evenly spaced in log-space from the range $10^{-2}$ to $10^6$ [7, 29]. Also, we calculate the log-average miss rate (MR) to summarize performance of different detectors including Caltech-RPN (detector pre-trained on Caltech dataset [8]), Color-RPN (detector trained using visible images of KAIST dataset [13]), Thermal-RPN (detector trained using thermal images of KAIST dataset), ACF-C-T [13], Halfway-Fusion [29], TS-RPN and U-TS-RPN.

First of all, our proposed TS-RPN can better incorporate semantic features extracted on both color and thermal channels, thus leads to improved pedestrian detection results. Its overall MR is significantly lower than results of detectors trained using single color and thermal images (Color-RPN and Thermal-RPN). Compared with other feature fusion schemes, MR of TS-RPN is 4.33% lower than the results of Halfway Fusion [29] and 22.14% lower than ones of ACF-C-T [13]. Moreover, TS-RPN is a more efficient feature fusion architecture. We compare the model size of our proposed TS-RPN model with the Late Fusion model [45] and the Halfway Fusion model [29]. As shown in Fig.10, using the same backbone DCNNs (VGG16), TS-RPN uses significantly fewer numbers of parameters compared with the state-of-art Halfway Fusion model (25.2M vs. 144.8M). We also utilize a single Titan X GPU to evaluate the computation efficiency of Halfway Fusion model and our proposed TS-RPN. The running time of TS-RPN surpasses the state-of-art Halfway Fusion method by a large margin (0.22s/image vs. 0.40s/image). Finally, but not least, our proposed U-TS-RPN, trained using auto-annotated training samples only, can achieve performance comparable to the state-of-art supervised methods using human annotation (nighttime: U-TS-RPN (33.00%) vs. Halfway Fusion (35.49%) and daytime: U-TS-RPN (37.15%) vs. Halfway Fusion (36.84%)). Furthermore, the comparative results of recall rate at IoU 0.5 vs. the number of detections are presented in Fig.12. Given only 20 detections per image, our proposed U-TS-RPN and TS-RPN obtains 94.8% and 95.5% recall rates respectively, which are significantly higher than results of Halfway Fusion (86.7%) and ACF (82.6%).

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we present a unified framework which combines the auto-annotation method with a TS-RPN detector to achieve unsupervised learning of multispectral features for robust pedestrian detection. An effective auto-annotation approach is proposed through iteratively labeling of pedestrian instances from visible and thermal channels. It takes ~30 hours to complete the auto-annotation of 50k multispectral image pairs...
Figure 11: Comparisons on the KAIST dataset using an IoU threshold of 0.5 to determine True Positives during all-day (a), daytime (b), and nighttime (c) (legends indicate MR).

Figure 12: Comparison of pedestrian recall vs. number of detections during all-day (a), daytime (b), and nighttime (c).

while the manual labeling process of such data requires more than 80 hours. These automatically generated labels can be used to train a detector, making our approach readily applicable to new target scenes without extra manual labeling efforts. Moreover, a two-stream region proposal network (TS-RPN) is applied to learn the semantic features from both color and thermal channels. Complementary information from multispectral data leads to more robust pedestrian detection results. It is worth mentioning that our proposed unsupervised approach using auto-annotated training data alone can achieve performance comparable to state-of-the-art DNNs-based multispectral pedestrian detectors trained using manual labels (36.42% vs. 36.99% on miss rates) on multispectral images captured at various urban scenes during both daytime and nighttime. We will make the source code of TS-RPN and the auto-annotation results publicly available so other researches can also make use of and evaluate. However, a noticeable drawback is that some insignificant pedestrian samples which cannot correctly identified by our auto-annotation can be still labeled through human effort. Our future work will be focused on developing more discriminative detectors to enable better separation of object-of-interest and cluttered background. Moreover, we hope to evaluate the performance of this approach under other challenging circumstances (e.g., during rainy, foggy, hazy days).
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